# Lamar Consolidated Independent School District Bentley Elementary 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	3
Demographics	3
Student Learning	3
School Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	8
Priority Problem Statements	9
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	12
Goal 1: By June 2023, 85% of Bentley Elementary staff and parents will rate the overall campus quality as Outstanding resulting in an A rating as measured by the Staff Climate Survey.	13
Goal 2: By May 2023, 90% of Kindergarten through Second Grade students will be reading on or above grade level expectations as measured by End of Year Guided Reading Assessment (GRA).	19
Goal 3: By May 2023, Third, Fourth, and Fifth grade students will score at least 90% approaches, 70% meets, and at least 45% masters as measured by the 2023 STAAR assessment. Third Grade Reading: Approaches 85% to 90%+ Meets 66% to 70% Masters 43% to 45%+ Third Grade Math: Approaches 86% to 90%+ Meets 57% to 70% Masters 27% to 45%+ Fourth Grade Reading: Approaches 94% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 48% to 45%+ Fifth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 71% to 70% Masters 46% to 45%+ Fifth Grade Reading: Approaches 91% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 55% to 45%+ Fifth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 62% to 70% Masters 33% to 45%+	24
Goal 4: By May 2023, Fifth grade students will score 90% approaches, 70% meets, and 45% masters as measured by the 2023 Science STAAR assessment. 2022 STAAR results 88% approaches, 55% meets, 38% masters.	37
Goal 5: By May 2023, the number of Emergent Bilingual students scoring Advanced High in Speaking on TELPAS will increase from 9% to 13%.	41
State Compensatory	43
Budget for Bentley Elementary	44
Personnel for Bentley Elementary	44
Campus Funding Summary	44

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

Revised/Approved: August 31, 2022

#### **Demographics**

#### **Demographics Summary**

Carl E. Bentley Elementary opened in the fall of 2016 as a Pre-K - 5th grade campus in Lamar Consolidated ISD. The need for Bentley Elementary is a direct result of the fast growth on the northern side of the district. We serve multiple subdivisions, which are continually developing, so the potential for continued substantial growth is imminent. Our current enrollment is 1024 students and continually growing. Enrollment data reveals the white student population continues to be the largest group with 35% followed by 28% Hispanic, 23% Black or African American, 10% Asian, 4% Two or More Races, and .11% American Indian. 36% of our students are economically disadvantaged, 21% of our students are receiving ESL services, and 14% of our students are served under the special education umberella.

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Bentley Elementary is reflective of the rich diversity of Fort Bend County, the most diverse county in the nation. Over 23 languages are respresented throughout our campus, with 194 of our students receiving English as a Second Language Services. This diversity is a strength on our campus. The learning experience of all students is enhanced by the varying cultural backgrounds and experiences of our student population.

Bentley is home to two Early Childhood Special Education Classrooms, one Structured Learning Classroom, and three full day Pre-K classrooms. These classrooms offer all of our students an opportunity to collaborate and grow as a community of learners.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** In core subject areas, economically disadvantaged and Hispanic students perform considerably lower than other peer groups. **Root Cause:** Lack of differentiation, background knowledge, and timely and targeted response to intervention.

## **Student Learning**

#### **Student Learning Summary**

3 <sup>rd</sup> (	Grade Reading Assessm	ent Data	
	2021/2022		
Level	STAAR	STAAR	Difference
	2021	2022	
Approaches	92%	85%	-6%
Meets	65%	66%	+1%
Masters	41%	43%	+2%

4th Gra	de Reading Assessme	ent Data	
	2021/2022		
Level	STAAR	STAAR	Difference
	2021	2022	
Approaches	79%	94%	+15%
Meets	50%	78%	+28%
Masters	28%	48%	+20%

5th (	Grade Reading Assessm	ent Data	
	2021/2022		
Level	STAAR	STAAR	Difference
	2021	2022	
Approaches	87%	91%	+3%
Meets	65%	78%	+13%
Masters	51%	55%	+4%

### 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Math Assessment Data 2021/2022

Level	STAAR	STAAR	Difference
	2021	2022	
Approaches	94%	89%	-5%
Meets	61%	61%	0%
Masters	35%	32%	-3%

#### 4th Grade Math Assessment Data

#### 2021/2022

Level	STAAR	STAAR	Difference
	2021	2022	
Approaches	89%	89%	0%
Meets	64%	71%	+7%
Masters	49%	46%	-3%

#### 5th Grade Math Assessment Data

#### 2021/2022

Level	STAAR	STAAR	Difference
	2021	2022	
Approaches	94%	89%	-5%
Meets	61%	61%	0%
Masters	35%	32%	-3%

# Sth Grade Science Assessment Data 2021/2022 Level STAAR STAAR Difference 2021 2022 Approaches 73% 87% +14%

39%

13%

On the 2022 3rd grade Reading STAAR, students showed a 6% decline in the approaches, a 1% increase in the meets, and a 2% increase in the masters level when compared to the 2021 3rd grade Reading STAAR results.

55%

37%

+16%

+25%

On the 2022 4th grade Reading STAAR, students showed a 15% increase in the approaches, a 28% increase in the meets, and a 20% increase in the masters level when compared to the 2021 4th grade Reading STAAR results.

On the 2022 5th grade Reading STAAR, students showed a 3% increase in the approaches, a 13% increase in the meets, and a 4% increase in the masters level when compared to the 2021 5th grade Reading STAAR results.

On the 2022 3rd grade Math STAAR, students showed a 3% decrease in the approaches, a 5% decline in the meets, and a 2% decline in the masters level when compared to the 2021 3rd grade Math STAAR results.

On the 2022 4th grade Math STAAR, students showed a no change in the approaches, a 7% increase in the meets, and a 3% decrease in the masters level when compared to the 2021 4th grade Math STAAR results.

On the 2022 5th grade Math STAAR, students showed a 5% decrease in the approaches, a no change in the meets, and a 3% decrease in the masters level when compared to the 2021 5th grade Math STAAR results.

On the 2022 5th grade science STAAR, 5th grade students showed a 14% increase in the approaches, a 16% increase in the meets, and a 25% increase in the masters level when compared to the 2021 Science STAAR results.

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

Meets

Masters

At the conclusion of the 2021-2022 school year, 79% of Bentley 1st grade students were reading on or above grade level. In 2nd grade, 89% of students were reading on or above grade level. In Kindergarten, 76% of students were reading on or above grade level.

27% of students rated Advaced high in TELAS, and 47% rated Advanced on overall composite rating.

# Problem Statement 1: Teachers will increase the impact of tier 1 instruction through the use of streamlined strategies and target small group instruction during math, ELAR and science instruction. Root Cause: Lack of responsive and differentiated instruction.

#### **School Processes & Programs**

#### **School Processes & Programs Summary**

During the duration of the school year, grade level teams met weekly on pre-determined days with instructional coaches in order to enhance student learning. Key components of lesson plans included academic vocabulary, sentence stems, quality questioning, and leadforward strategies. Additional days throughout the year were dedicated to long range planning to focus on learning progression.

PLCs were held bi-monthly. Attendees included teachers, coaches, administration, and other support personnel. Data was continually reviewed and best practices were discussed to target the growth of each student.

Through the MTSS process, student progress was measured throughout the school year during Kid Chat meetings. Kid Chats included teachers, administrators, coaches, and other staff as needed. Classroom teachers were responsible for inputting individual student data into a grade level spreadsheet including reading levels, STAAR scores, grades on common assessments, number of sight words, etc. depending on the grade. The core team set the minimum criteria when sorting student data for each meeting. All stakeholders discussed the data presented in the spreadsheet and worked to create an action plan for students whose data fell below the minimum criteria. Students received additional support needed by the classroom teachers or coaches.

Learning walks were implemented and will occur 3 times during the school year.

Students have an opportunity to participate in a multitude of after school enrichment opportunities such as Girls on the Run, Bentley Beatz, Crazy 8 Math Club and Student Council.

#### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

We continually strive to educate the whole child. Priority is placed on making learning fun, engaging and rigorous. Our student's social/emotional needs are addressed through our character counts program and the implementation of the Ron Clark Academy.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** Providing both differentiated and rigorous instruction during Blazer Boots to intervene and enrich all learners. **Root Cause:** Not implementing intervention and enrichment plans with fidelity

#### **Perceptions**

#### **Perceptions Summary**

At Bentley Elementary, students drive every decision we make. Relationships with our students, each other, and local community are valued. According to the Campus Climate Sruvey, 89% of respondents indicated that an administrator was available to talk to them or listen to a concern. Parents indicated they would like more communication on the Standard Response Protocol.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

According to the Campus Climate Survey:

- 88% of students said they were proud to attend Bentley Elementary.
- 95% of parents indicated we have maintained open lines of communication
- 95% of parents indicated teachers are available to discuss learning needs of their child.
- 100% of staff indicated that they know someone that is available to help with school and personal problems.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** At this time, only 65% of staff members rated Bentley as outstanding.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- State and federal planning requirements

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data
- Community Based Accountability System (CBAS)

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- · State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- · Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback

- · School safety data
- Enrollment trends

#### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

#### Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

#### **Support Systems and Other Data**

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Study of best practices
- Action research results

# Goals

**Goal 1:** By June 2023, 85% of Bentley Elementary staff and parents will rate the overall campus quality as Outstanding resulting in an A rating as measured by the Staff Climate Survey.

**Performance Objective 1:** Build and maintain a school-wide culture in which all staff and students are treated with respect resulting in strong, healthy relationship with all campus stakeholders

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Campus Climate Survey

For	Formative Reviews	
	Formative	
Nov	Feb	June
For	mative Rev	iews
	Formative	
Nov	Feb	June
For	mative Rev	iews
	Formative	
Nov	Feb	June
	Nov For	Formative Nov Feb  Formative Rev Formative Nov Feb  Formative Rev Formative Rev

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Campus will create a site-based PBIS team meeting quarterly to develop a 3-tiered system for intervention of targeted behaviors		Formative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> A tiered behavioral system will be developed and implemented throughout the school based on the campus needs assessment 3-5 target behaviors.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PBIS Team and RCA Committee			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify Discontinue	e		

**Goal 1:** By June 2023, 85% of Bentley Elementary staff and parents will rate the overall campus quality as Outstanding resulting in an A rating as measured by the Staff Climate Survey.

Performance Objective 2: Establish a shared vision for collaboration, high expectations, and commitment to improve school-wide academic performance.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** STAAR Scores, MAP Growth Reports

Strategy 1 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Grade level teams will meet bi-monthly for PLC meetings focusing on data to drive instruction.		Formative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Bentley students will increase by 3% in the Masters category in reading and math according to STAAR 2022.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, CORE and Teachers			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Grade level teams will meet weekly with campus coaches to collaborate and plan quality Tier 1 instruction using LCISD best		Formative	
practices for students.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lesson plans will reflect strategies implemented and be reflected in walkthroughs.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Math Coach, Literacy Coach and ESL Coach			
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

**Goal 1:** By June 2023, 85% of Bentley Elementary staff and parents will rate the overall campus quality as Outstanding resulting in an A rating as measured by the Staff Climate Survey.

**Performance Objective 3:** Create and maintain systems to ensure efficient and timely communication of information throughout the school community. Both internal communication for staff and external communication for families.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Campus Climate Survey

Strategy 1 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 1: Administration will communicate campus news using Friday Focus newsletter.		Formative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Staff responses will reflect a 7% increase regarding administration expectations on the Staff Climate Survey.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration			
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 2: Campus will send a bi-monthly parent newsletter highlighting campus activities, events, and successes.		Formative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> 95% of parents will agree or strongly agree that they are informed about what their child is learning in school as indicated on the Parent Climate Survey.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration			
Strategy 3 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Grade levels will communicate weekly on social media highlighting activities and events.		Formative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> 95% of parents will agree or strongly agree that they are informed about what their child is learning in school as indicated on the Parent Climate Survey.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Strategy 4 Details	Foi	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 4: Each grade level will create and manage a grade level newsletter and send through email and via Canvas to communicate with		Formative	
parents weekly.	Nov	Feb	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> 95% of parents will agree or strongly agree that they are informed about what their child is learning in school as indicated on the Parent Climate Survey.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Administration			

Strategy 5 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Librarian will maintain campus website.		Formative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Parents will reflect a 7% increase in being informed about activities, workshops, and other events as indicated on the Parent Climate Survey.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Librarian			
Strategy 6 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 6: Teachers will return and input grades in the gradebook within five school days for all minor and major grades.		Formative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> 95% of parents will strongly agree or agree that teachers give timely and helpful feedback on student's schoolwork.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Administrators			
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning			
Strategy 7 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 7: Grade levels will focus on intentional planning of lessons using available materials		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 95% of staff will strongly agree or agree that they have the materials they need to effectively teach.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL - \$1,420			
Strategy 8 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 8: Campus will send a structured, simplified, and specific bi-monthly parent newsletter highlighting campus activities, events, and		Formative	
successes.  Street and a France and Beauty Human and 1970/ of moments will atmosphy a company that they are bent informed about activities such as	Nov	Feb	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> 87% of parents will strongly agree or agree that they are kept informed about activities such as tutoring, afterschool programs, student performances, parent workshops, and other events.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators			

Strategy 9 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 9: Schoolwide communication will be sent to parents before every Standard Response Protocol (SRP)	Formative		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> 85% of parents will strongly agree or agree that they are aware of the Standard Response Protocol (SRP) at Bentley.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators			
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

**Goal 1:** By June 2023, 85% of Bentley Elementary staff and parents will rate the overall campus quality as Outstanding resulting in an A rating as measured by the Staff Climate Survey.

Performance Objective 4: Develop an environment of cultural responsiveness.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Character Counts and library guidance lessons will include an element of cultural diversity and celebration.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease by 3% the number of students who struggle with making friends, fitting in and being	Nov	Feb	June	
teased as measured by the end of the year Social/Emotional survey responses.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: School Counselors Librarian				
Librarian				
Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: Continue annual cultural festival to showcase the diversity represented on our campus.		Formative		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase by 7% the number of students who feel that teachers and staff treat them fairly regardless	Nov	Feb	June	
of cultural background or differences as measured the campus climate survey; staff and parent results.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: School Counselors and ESL Lead.				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
- Targeted Support Strategy				
Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL - \$500				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Initiate and maintain a bulletin board focused on cultural awareness and the celebration of diversity.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase by 7% the number of students who feel that teachers and staff treat them fairly regardless	Nov	Feb	June	
of cultural background or differences as measured the campus climate survey; staff and parent results.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Librarian				
Counselors				
			<u> </u>	
No Progress Continue/Modify Continue/Modify Discontinue	3			

**Performance Objective 1:** The campus will provide focused Professional Learning sessions targeting Guided Reading, Phonemic Awareness, and Phonics to build teacher capacity in Balanced Literacy.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** GRA Levels

Next Steps to Guided Reading strategies embedded into lesson plans

Teacher Observation Reflection Rubrics

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide professional learning in Next Steps Guided Reading instruction and how to administer GRA and Running Record		Formative		
assessments for students.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lesson plans will reflect NSGR strategies implemented during small group instruction.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Contracted Guided Reading Facilitator and Literacy Coach				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL - \$800				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: PK-2 teachers will observe colleagues and consultants during small groups to increase teacher capacity and PK paras will support		Formative		
small group at risk interventions.	Nov	Feb	June	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Teachers will complete an observation rubric to reflect upon areas of growth for their own instruction.	1101	100	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Literacy Coach , Teachers, paras				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$3,500, - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$90,202.52				

Strategy 3 Details	Fo	Formative Reviews		
egy 3: New K-3 teachers will participate in Region 4 Reading Academics Cohort, as part of House Bill 3 over the next 3 years.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All artifacts turned in and grades posted by Region 4.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K-3 Teachers	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy 4 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Provide professional learning opportunities to new PK and Kinder Teachers on the different components of CLI and Heggerty		Formative		
Phonemic Awareness to build teacher capacity in the area of phonemic awareness instruction.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance by 10% on CLI	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Literacy Coach  Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL - \$500				
Strategy 5 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews	
Strategy 5: Guide teachers in implementing a new explicit, systematic phonics program, Really Great Reading, to build foundational reading		Formative		
skills.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build students' ability to decode unfamiliar words.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Literacy Coach and teachers  Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$1,999.50				

**Performance Objective 2:** The campus will increase students' access to leveled readers and decodable books.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Teacher inventory survey.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Increase number of books in classroom libraries and access to digital literacy resources.			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Utilization of best practices checklist during walkthroughs.	Nov	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL - \$1,500			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Implement and utilize the "Reading at Home" (RAH) library for below-level readers to increase opportunities for repeated	Formative		
practice.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased exposure to grade-level texts			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL - \$600			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Add leveled readers and decodables into the literacy library available for teacher checkout.	Formative		
	Nov	Feb	June
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	2		

**Performance Objective 3:** Increase parent awareness of grade level reading expectations and how to support readers.

Evaluation Data Sources: GRA report notification, End of Year Guided Reading Assessment (GRA)

Strategy 1 Details	For	iews	
rategy 1: Teachers will communicate student reading progress each 9-weeks through GRA assessment reports.			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: At the end of each 9-week grading period, parents will receive a GRA report notification.	Nov	Nov Feb	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Strategy 2 Details	For	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers and the Literacy Coach will provide "parent tips" focusing on supporting early readers with	Formative		
suggested resources for students.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in GRA reading levels and student reading engagement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Literacy Coach			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: K-1 teachers will provide parents grade-level specific checklists including letter identification, letter-sound recognition, and high-		Formative	
frequency words at each nine weeks	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student GRA reading levels			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	э		

**Performance Objective 4:** Increase differentiation by providing timely intervention for all K-2 readers.

Evaluation Data Sources: GRA report notification, End of Year Guided Reading Assessment (GRA)

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Campus teachers will utilize a school-wide intervention time to enrich all students by intervening upon and accelerating learning		Formative		
based on students needs.	Nov	Nov Feb		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student progress toward personal goals  Stoff Personsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teacher will provide enrichment for above grade level readers at least once weekly during Extended Learning Time.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased engagement and motivation for high readers.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Strategy 3 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Teachers will share their class GRA tracker with the principal and the literacy coach each time students are assessed with the		Formative		
GRA. An action plan for students not accelerating will be created and implemented.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase GRA levels				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Literacy Coach				
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Coaches will collaborate to plan with grade level teams. Planning focus will be on quality differentiated intervention plans for	Formative			
ELT.	Nov Feb		June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lesson plans will reflect strategies implemented and be observed in walkthroughs.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, coaches				
			<u> </u>	
No Progress Continue/Modify Continue/Modify Discontinu	e			

Third Grade Reading: Approaches 85% to 90%+ Meets 66% to 70% Masters 43% to 45%+

Third Grade Math: Approaches 86% to 90%+ Meets 57% to 70% Masters 27% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Reading: Approaches 94% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 48% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 71% to 70% Masters 46% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Reading: Approaches 91% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 55% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 62% to 70% Masters 33% to 45%+

**Performance Objective 1:** Provide professional learning in math and reading to improve Tier 1 instruction.

**HB3 Goal** 

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Lesson Plans

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
<b>Strategy 1:</b> Coaches will facilitate teacher implementation of Lead4ward instructional strategies to engage student learning in math.		Formative		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Lesson plans will reflect instructional strategies implemented and be reflected in walkthroughs, observations, and data.	Nov	Nov Feb		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach Teachers				
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: During planning, teachers will utilize the Lead4ward resources such as the Field Guide and IQ analysis.		Formative		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Lesson plans will reflect instructional strategies implemented and be reflected in walkthroughs, observations, and data.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Teachers				
Strategy 3 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Continue Reading vertical team to increase writing opportunities and enhance students' ability to approach extended constructed	Formative			
responses and strategies for revising and editing tasks. Create a math vertical team to align instructional strategies across all grade levels for highly tested TEKS.	Nov	Feb	June	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students will score at least 90% approaches, 70% meets, and at least 45% masters as measured by the 2022 STAAR assessment.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Instructional Coaches				
ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 4 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Grade Level Teams will meet weekly with campus coaches to collaborate and plan quality Tier 1 instruction using LCISD best	Formative			
practices for students. K-2 will focus on Next Steps and numeracy model. 3-5 will focus on small group instruction.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lesson plans will reflect strategies implemented and be reflected in walkthroughs.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Instructional Coaches				
<b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 5 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 5: Once per nine weeks, K-5th grade teachers will participate in extended planning with coaches.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers and coaches will participate in long range planning.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coaches	Nov	Nov Feb		
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 6 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews	
Strategy 6: Teachers will observe coaches and consultants model best practices during instruction to increase teacher capacity in math and		Formative		
reading tier 1 instruction.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will complete an observation rubric to reflect upon areas of growth for their own instruction.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches and Teachers	Nov	Feb	June	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 7 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews	
<b>Strategy 7:</b> Kindergarten through 5th grade will increase fact fluency through ongoing campus and district-based numeracy assessment.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will master foundational facts before moving on to derived facts.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Instructional Coaches	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy 8 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews	
Strategy 8: Morning announcements will include a weekly math word of the day that includes the word, definition, example sentence and a		Formative		
reflection piece.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase discourse and use math vocabulary in their everyday language.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches and librarian				
Strategy 9 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews	
<b>Strategy 9:</b> Representatives from grade levels K-3 will participate in the Guided Math Cadre by Donna Boucher.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Professional Learning will increase the effectiveness of small group and differentiated instruction.	Nov	Feb	June	

Third Grade Reading: Approaches 85% to 90%+ Meets 66% to 70% Masters 43% to 45%+

Third Grade Math: Approaches 86% to 90%+ Meets 57% to 70% Masters 27% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Reading: Approaches 94% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 48% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 71% to 70% Masters 46% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Reading: Approaches 91% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 55% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 62% to 70% Masters 33% to 45%+

**Performance Objective 2:** Use data from multiple sources to plan and deliver differentiated small group instruction in math and reading for all learners.

#### **HB3** Goal

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Lesson Plans

Summative Data

District Assessment - Benchmark

Bentley Elementary

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
ategy 1: Teachers will utilize data to create small groups based upon student need to individualize instruction for students.		Formative		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Using data from summative data, district assessments, MAP and GRA data teachers will create differentiated small groups.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Teachers, and Instructional Coaches				
ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$576.50				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will deliver Next Steps to Guided Reading small group instruction through a balanced literacy approach including a		Formative		
variety of literature and genres for students.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will receive literature rich differentiated small group instruction.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration and Instructional Coaches				
ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction  English Support 100 BIG 25 State Bilinese I/ESI				
Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL - \$500				
No Progress	e			

Third Grade Reading: Approaches 85% to 90%+ Meets 66% to 70% Masters 43% to 45%+

Third Grade Math: Approaches 86% to 90%+ Meets 57% to 70% Masters 27% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Reading: Approaches 94% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 48% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 71% to 70% Masters 46% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Reading: Approaches 91% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 55% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 62% to 70% Masters 33% to 45%+

**Performance Objective 3:** Offer enrichment/intervention opportunities to stretch students' critical thinking skills.

**HB3** Goal

**Evaluation Data Sources:** STAAR Scores

**Attendance Sheets** 

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 1: Before and during school tutorials will be utilized to provide intervention in reading and math.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase critical thinking skills during tutorials.	Nov	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches			†	
EB Specialist Teachers				
reactions				
Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$6,266				
Strategy 2 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 2: Campus will offer a daily 30 minute enrichment/intervention Blazer Boost time guided by priority standards.		!		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase knowledge of priority standards.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			<u> </u>	
Instructional Coaches				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Math coach will hold Crazy 8s math club after school, weekly for 8 weeks.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Club participants will show increase in critical thinking skills and increase in their performance level.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach and Teachers				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e			

Third Grade Reading: Approaches 85% to 90%+ Meets 66% to 70% Masters 43% to 45%+

Third Grade Math: Approaches 86% to 90%+ Meets 57% to 70% Masters 27% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Reading: Approaches 94% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 48% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 71% to 70% Masters 46% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Reading: Approaches 91% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 55% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 62% to 70% Masters 33% to 45%+

**Performance Objective 4:** Students will be active participants in monitoring their academic understanding, progress, and achievement.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Student Growth Chart

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 1: A summative goal setting tracking chart will be utilized in grades 2-5 to set goals and track individual progress for students and		Formative	
classes.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be active participants in their educational progress.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grades 2-5 teachers  ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
No Progress Ontinue/Modify Discontinue Continue/Modify	<del></del>		_

Third Grade Reading: Approaches 85% to 90%+ Meets 66% to 70% Masters 43% to 45%+

Third Grade Math: Approaches 86% to 90%+ Meets 57% to 70% Masters 27% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Reading: Approaches 94% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 48% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 71% to 70% Masters 46% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Reading: Approaches 91% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 55% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 62% to 70% Masters 33% to 45%+

**Performance Objective 5:** Monitor Special Education failure rate at the third and ninth week of instruction.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Progress Reports and Report Cards

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Principal will collaborate with SpEd team twice per 9 weeks to review failure report and create an action plan for individual	Formative		
students.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A plan will be created for areas of support at the 6th and 9th week of instruction.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Jill Nehls-Principal			
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

Third Grade Reading: Approaches 85% to 90%+ Meets 66% to 70% Masters 43% to 45%+

Third Grade Math: Approaches 86% to 90%+ Meets 57% to 70% Masters 27% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Reading: Approaches 94% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 48% to 45%+

Fourth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 71% to 70% Masters 46% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Reading: Approaches 91% to 90%+ Meets 78% to 70% Masters 55% to 45%+

Fifth Grade Math: Approaches 89% to 90%+ Meets 62% to 70% Masters 33% to 45%+

**Performance Objective 6:** Prepare students for the online testing format.

**Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR** 

Strategy 1 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 1: Utilize Mastery Connect for online summatives and assignments to prepare students for online testing and practice new item		Formative	
types.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be prepared for online testing and practice new test item types.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coaches			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 2: Instructional coaches will provide strategies to support the online testing format.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be knowledgeable about and utilize the online tools in Mastery Connect during assessments.		Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches			
•			
Strategy 3 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Utilize Typing Club bi-monthly during computer lab.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show an increase in typing skills which will benefit online testing.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Librarian			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu	ie		

**Goal 4:** By May 2023, Fifth grade students will score 90% approaches, 70% meets, and 45% masters as measured by the 2023 Science STAAR assessment. 2022 STAAR results 88% approaches, 55% meets, 38% masters.

**Performance Objective 1:** Provide professional learning in science to improve Tier 1 instruction.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Lesson Plans

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
<b>Strategy 1:</b> Coaches will facilitate teacher implementation of Lead4ward instructional strategies to engage student learning in science.		Formative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Lesson plans will reflect instructional strategies implemented and be reflected in walkthroughs, observations, and data.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach			
Teachers Science lab teacher			
Science 1ab teacher			
ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: During planning, teachers will utilize the Lead4ward resources such as the Field Guide, Instructional Strategies and IQ Analysis		Formative	
for intentional planning/instruction.	Nov	Feb	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Lesson plans will reflect instructional strategies implemented and be reflected in walkthroughs, observations, and data.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach			
Teachers			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Create a science vertical team to align instructional strategies across grade levels for highly tested TEKS that will meet once a		Formative	
semester.	Nov	Feb	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students will score at least 90% approaches, 70% meets, and at least 45% masters as measured by the 2023 STAAR assessment.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Science Lab Teacher			
ESF Levers:			

Strategy 4 Details	Fo	rmative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: Grade level teams will meet weekly with campus coaches to collaborate and plan quality Tier 1 instruction using LCISD best		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lesson plans will reflect strategies implemented and be reflected in walkthroughs.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coach  ESF Levers:  Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction		Feb	June
Strategy 5 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews
<b>Strategy 5:</b> Once a nine weeks, K-5th grade teachers will participate in extended planning.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Long range planning	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coaches  ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning			
No Progress	e	•	

**Goal 4:** By May 2023, Fifth grade students will score 90% approaches, 70% meets, and 45% masters as measured by the 2023 Science STAAR assessment. 2022 STAAR results 88% approaches, 55% meets, 38% masters.

Performance Objective 2: Establish a shared vision for collaboration, high expectations, and commitment to improve science academic performance.

Strategy 1 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 1: Teachers will design lessons to incorporate daily hands-on experiences for all students. K-1: 80%, 2nd-3rd: 60%, 4th-5th: 50%		Formative	
(Target Group: All)	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved experiences to enhance understanding for students.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches, Science Lab Teacher, Teachers			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Students will rotate through the science lab on a bi-monthly basis as part of the specials rotation.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved experiences for students.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Science Lab Teacher			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Level 1. High Quality Curriculum, Level 5. Lincolive instituction			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
<b>Strategy 3:</b> All science assessments grades 2-5 will have multiple stimuli focusing on students' interpretation of charts, tables, graphs, and		Formative	
diagrams.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will become familiar with multiple stimuli.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Science Coach			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 4: Students will write at least two CERs (Claim, Evidence, Reasoning) per nine weeks to practice writing across content and using		Formative	
science vocabulary.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to write across content areas.	<b>—</b>	+	ł

1	<b>Iff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Instructional Coachers	aches				
	% No Progress	100% Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discontinue		

Goal 5: By May 2023, the number of Emergent Bilingual students scoring Advanced High in Speaking on TELPAS will increase from 9% to 13%.

Performance Objective 1: Teachers will increase speaking opportunities for all students and monitor the use of complete sentences and elaboration.

**Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS** 

Strategy 1 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 1: K-5 teachers will display, model and require students to use Accountable Talk stems in all subjects during student discussion.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased engagement for reluctant speakers.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
- Targeted Support Strategy			
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 2: Emergent Bilingual Specialist will provide teacher training on Accountable Talk stems and other SIOP strategies.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build teacher capacity to monitor student speaking progress.	Nov	Feb	June
ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
- Targeted Support Strategy			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: TELPAS students in grades 1-5 will practice speaking into headset for TELPAS preparation.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student familiarity with how the test is given.	Nov	Feb	June
TEA Priorities:			
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ue		<u> </u>

Goal 5: By May 2023, the number of Emergent Bilingual students scoring Advanced High in Speaking on TELPAS will increase from 9% to 13%.

Performance Objective 2: Provide support for beginner level EB students to support language acquisiton.

**Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS** 

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Emergent Bilingual Specialist will work with beginner EB students in a small group setting to increase language skills.		Formative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase opportunities for students to acquire the language skills to participate in a fully English curriculum.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: EB Specialist			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e	•	

# **State Compensatory**

#### **Budget for Bentley Elementary**

**Total SCE Funds:** \$0.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 3

**Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs** 

#### **Personnel for Bentley Elementary**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Crystal Bartee	Pre-K Aide	1
Joyce Castillo	Pre-K Aide	1
Stephanie Giarratano	Pre-K Aide	1

# **Campus Funding Summary**

			199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
2	1	2		\$90,202.52
2	1	2		\$3,500.00
2	1	5		\$1,999.50
3	2	1		\$576.50
3	3	1		\$6,266.00
			Sub-Total	\$102,544.52
			Budgeted Fund Source Amount	\$102,544.52
			+/- Difference	\$0.00
			199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	3	7		\$1,420.00
1	4	2		\$500.00
2	1	1		\$800.00
2	1	4		\$500.00
2	2	1		\$1,500.00
2	2	2		\$600.00
3	2	2		\$500.00
			Sub-Total Sub-Total	\$5,820.00
			Budgeted Fund Source Amount	\$5,820.00
			+/- Difference	\$0.00
			Grand Total Budgeted	\$108,364.52
			Grand Total Spent	\$108,364.52
			+/- Difference	\$0.00