Lamar Consolidated Independent School District Frost Elementary 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Samuel Miles Frost Elementary is committed to creating a community of life-long learners by nurturing the social, emotional, and academic gifts of all children. The Frost Community will celebrate our diversity as we soar to meet the challenges of our changing world.

Flight Plan

Purpose – Growing hearts and minds in our community.

Culture – We are rooted in the positive relationships we build with our students and families on their educational journey.

Values – Strong work ethic, innovation, celebrate success, differentiated instruction, community involvement, student growth, building lifelong learners.

Beliefs – We believe all children can learn, we believe the heart and mind are equally important, we believe in building positive relationships, we believe in educating the whole child.

Outlook – "Every child is one caring adult away from being a success story."

Always – Be a positive role model, set high expectations be accountable, data driven, pursue new learning, be passionate, celebrate growth, authentic engagement, growth mindset, make an impact, show gratitude, communicate effectively.

Never – Marginalize individuals or groups, make excuses, avoid risks in fear of failure, engage in complacency, demonstrate a fixed mindset, low expectations, compromise values, deficit thinking practices, give up.

Promise – Every Student, Every Day! Be the One!

Table of Contents

| Comprehensive Needs Assessment | 4 |
|--|--------|
| Demographics | 4 |
| Student Learning | 5 |
| School Processes & Programs | 7 |
| Perceptions | 9 |
| Priority Problem Statements | 10 |
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation | 11 |
| Goals | 13 |
| Goal 1: By June 2023, the percent of 3rd - 5th grade students that score Meets Grade Level on the STAAR Reading Test will increase from 25% to 35% and Masters from 50 to 65%. | 6% 14 |
| Goal 2: By June 2023, the percent of 3rd - 5th grade students that score Meets Grade Level on the STAAR Math Test will increase from 28% to 38% and Masters from 40% 50%. | to 20 |
| Goal 3: By June 2023, the percent of 5th grade students that score Meets Grade Level on the STAAR Science Test will increase from 32% to 65% and Masters from 25% to 45%. | 35 |
| Goal 4: By June 2023, the percent of all parents responding Strongly Agree and Agree regarding parent involvement opportunities on the K12 Insight Survey will increase fr 66% to 90%. | rom 39 |
| Goal 5: By June 2023, the percent of K-2 students reading on or above grade level will increase from 83% to 90%. | 42 |
| State Compensatory | 48 |
| Budget for Frost Elementary | 49 |
| Personnel for Frost Elementary | 49 |
| Site-Based Decision Making Committee | 49 |
| Campus Funding Summary | 50 |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Frost Elementary opened in the 2000-2001 school year. Frost is a high achieving campus wilth approximately 947 PK - 5th grade students enrolled during the 2021-2022 school year. The campus is projected to grow to approximately 1200 students over the next three years. The campus attendance rate was 96.8% during the 2018-19 school year. Additional centralized special education programs on campus include 2 Early Childhood Special Education/Pegasus classes and 1 Structured Learning Classroom. We have 2 full day PK classes. The campus has high parent involvement in the PTO and Volunteer program. The PTO provides funding to support curriculum and instruction.

Student Demographics

African American 14.3%

Hispanic 24.8%

White 51%

Economically Disadvantaged 24.5%

At-Risk 29.9%

Gifted & Talented 11.6%

Special Education 13.4%

Staff Demographics

Total Staff: 67.8 (Professional Staff 54)

Minority Staff: 21.8

Beginning Teachers 3.9

1-5 Year Experience 17.6

6-10 Years Experience 7.5

11-20 Years Experience 13.7

Over 20 Years Experience 3.0

Special Education Teachers 5.4

Gifted & Talented Education .8

Demographics Strengths

As our campus increases in student enrollment, the diversity of our students and staff increases. We currently have 93 students in the ESL program.

Frost Elementary implements the Character Counts Program with fidelity. This program emphasizes the development of 6 Pillars of Character – trustworthiness, respect, responsibility, fairness, caring and citizenship. In addition, we have been designated a No Place for Hate campus for the past 5 years.

Niche ranked Frost Elementary as the #331 Best Public Elementary School in Texas. The 2022 Niche rating was A Overall Grade, A for Academics, A for Teachers, and A for Diversity. Frost earned a TEA rating of an A with 5 distinctions.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Frost is a growing campus with 1027 students currently enrolled. **Root Cause:** The Frost community is growing quickly. The school is projected to have approximately 1200 students in three years.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

2020-2021 GRA Data for K, 1st & 2nd

Frost 2020-2021 GRA Levels

| 4th Nine Weeks | Below Level | On Level | Above Level |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| Kindergarten | 13% | 44% | 42% |
| 1 st Grade | 28% | 15% | 57% |
| 2 nd Grade | 8% | 16% | 76% |

Frost Elementary students have a long history of academic success on the STAAR Reading, Math, Writing and Science assessments. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, Frost was rated an A campus by Texas Education Agency. In a typical school year, Frost will earn all 6 STAAR distinctions.

2020 - 2021 STAAR Results

3rd - 5th Reading Approaches Grade Level - 89%

3rd - 5th Reading Meets Grade Level - 68%

3rd - 5th Reading Masters Grade Level - 47%

3rd - 5th Math Approaches Grade Level - 89%

3rd - 5th Math Meets Grade Level - 68%

3rd - 5th Math Masters Grade Level - 45%

4th grade Writing Approaches Grade Level - 74%

4th Grade Writing Meets Grade Level - 41%

4th Grade Writing Masters Grade Level - 15%

5th grade Science Approaches Grade Level - 87%

5th grade Science Meets Grade Level - 60%

5th grade Science Masters Grade Level - 32%

Student Learning Strengths

During the 2020-2021 school year, 96% of our 3rd - 5th grade students participated in STAAR testing. Students scoring Approaches Grade Level in Reading, Math and Science exceeded 87% for All Student Groups.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): 89% of 5th grade science students approached grade level expectations as measured by STAAR **Root Cause:** Lack of science lab investigation with hands-on opportunities due to large class size.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): 25% of Kindergarten students scored below grade level as measured by EOY GRA. **Root Cause:** Due to COVID, most students did not attend pre-school. Students lacked social and emotional skills.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): 56% of 3rd grade SPED Math students approached grade level expectations as measured by STAAR. **Root Cause:** Lack of foundational math skills.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): 45% of our 2nd-5th grade students scored Intermediate or Below as measured by 2022 TELPAS speaking. **Root Cause:** Lack of practice with verbalizing using academic vocabulary and details.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Instruction

Frost Elementary has implemented Readers Workshop, Math Workshop and Writers Workshop with fidelity.

Guided Reading and Guided Math have been implemented in grades K-2 to increase student growth in literacy and numeracy using differentiated lesson plans to meet the individual needs of our students. Teachers meet with students 3-4 times per week in guided reading & guided math groups.

During Back to School Staff Development, Model Classrooms were set up for new teachers. Master teachers provided an overview of Guided Reading and Guided Math, classroom organization, and campus expectations for providing a Balanced Literacy and Balanced Numeracy program.

Reading Academy

All K-3 teachers, administrators, and other assigned staff are participating in the TEA Reading Academy 2021-2022. The goal of the program is to increase teacher knowledge and implementation of evidence-based practices to positively impact literacy achievement. Participants are engaged in 60 hours of professional development for Reading Academy.

Instructional Leadership Team

The Frost Instructional Leadership team that consists of Administrators, Counselors, Facilitators (ELAR, Math, ESL) and Librarian work collaboratively to implement researched based instructional practices, support the needs of staff members, and promote student growth. Each member of the leadership team roles and responsibilities are outlined in the campus leadership organization chart. The ILT team meets on a weekly basis.

Administration

The campus administrative team consists of 2 certified principals: one principal and one assistant principal.

Office Team

The Office Team consists of 1 principal, 1 assistant principal, 1 administrative assistant, 2 clerks and 1 nurse. The Office Team meets on a weekly basis.

Student Support

Through the MTSS program, facilitators provide interventions for all students scoring below 70% on their Progress Report and/or Report Card. Student support schedules are adjusted each 9 week grading period.

Teacher Support

Facilitators provide Coaching and Modeling for every new teacher to Frost Elementary. In addition, every new teacher with 0 years of experience is assigned a district mentor and a campus mentor.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Administrators and Facilitators implement a systematic approach for Collaborative Lesson Planning, Creating Common Assessments, Reviewing Assessments, and participating in Data Analysis Meetings.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

We believe in educating the WHOLE CHILD by providing academic and emotional supports. Students receive weekly guidance lessons to reinforce good character, problem solving, and making good decisions.

Students have opportunities to be involved district and campus events. Frost students participate in the LCISD UIL Competition, Science Olympiad, Battle of the Bluebonnets, Rube Goldberg, History Quiz Bowl and Spelling Bee. At the campus level, students have opportunities to participate in Safety Patrol, Art Club, Honor Choir, and Student Council.

Perceptions Strengths

Add K-12 Insight results

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): 66% of parents were satisfied with opportunities to be involved in their child's school as measured by K12 Insight Survery. **Root Cause:** Due to COVID restrictions, parent involvement activities were limited.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 5: Frost is a growing campus with 1027 students currently enrolled.

Root Cause 5: The Frost community is growing quickly. The school is projected to have approximately 1200 students in three years.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 1: 89% of 5th grade science students approached grade level expectations as measured by STAAR

Root Cause 1: Lack of science lab investigation with hands-on opportunities due to large class size.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: 66% of parents were satisfied with opportunities to be involved in their child's school as measured by K12 Insight Survery.

Root Cause 6: Due to COVID restrictions, parent involvement activities were limited.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: 25% of Kindergarten students scored below grade level as measured by EOY GRA.

Root Cause 2: Due to COVID, most students did not attend pre-school. Students lacked social and emotional skills.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: 56% of 3rd grade SPED Math students approached grade level expectations as measured by STAAR.

Root Cause 4: Lack of foundational math skills. **Problem Statement 4 Areas**: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: 45% of our 2nd-5th grade students scored Intermediate or Below as measured by 2022 TELPAS speaking.

Root Cause 3: Lack of practice with verbalizing using academic vocabulary and details.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)

Accountability Data

- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain

Student Data: Assessments

- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- · T-PESS data

Parent/Community Data

• Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Communications dataStudy of best practicesOther additional data

Goals

Goal 1: By June 2023, the percent of 3rd - 5th grade students that score Meets Grade Level on the STAAR Reading Test will increase from 25% to 35% and Masters from 56% to 65%.

Performance Objective 1: Provide differentiated reading and writing instruction for Learners based on Student Performance Data as well as work stations that hold students accountable for their learning.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP Growth GRA Reader's/Writer's Workshop Short Answer Response Reading/Writing Response Journals

| Strategy 1 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|---|-----|-------------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Conduct on campus tutorials before/after school for students averaging below 70% on campus assessments & district assessments | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth on GRA levels, report card grades, district assessments | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Reading Interventionist | | | |
| Instructional Coaches Teachers | | | |
| reactions | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| Funding Sources: Tutor and tutoring supplies - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$8,745 | | | |
| | | | |

| Strategy 2 Details | Formative Reviews | | iews |
|--|-------------------|-------------|------|
| Strategy 2: Provide differentiated small group reading interventions to students during the school day for students scoring below 70% on | Formative | | _ |
| report cards and below grade level on GRA Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on GRA levels, report card grades, and district assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Teachers Instructional Coaches Reading Interventionist TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy 3 Details | Formative Reviews | | |
| Strategy 3: Implement Data Team Meetings to review student performance in reading after campus major assessments and district | | | |
| assessments Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on GRA levels, report card grades, and district assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Instructional Coaches Teachers | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy 4 Details | For | rmative Rev | iews |
| Strategy 4: Utilize student performance data to plan guided reading instruction including small group and work stations. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in GRA scores, district assessments scores and report card grades Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Teachers | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy 5 Details | Formative Reviews | | |
| Strategy 5: Implement collaborative lesson planning meetings to develop small group and whole group reading and writing instruction as well | l Formative | | |
| work station activities Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on GRA levels, report card grades, and district assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Teachers | Nov | Feb | June |

| Strategy 6 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|--|------|---------------------|------|
| Strategy 6: Align reading/writing instruction vertically across all subjects, including SAR expectations | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrate growth on report card grades and district assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Coaches Administrators | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy 7 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 7: Provide access to SummitK12 to EB students due to a lack of growth on TELPAS assessments (listening, speaking, and reading), and/or not Approaching on STAAR Reading assessment. | Nov | Formative Nov Feb J | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Minimum of 1 level growth on TELPAS domains. Completion of assignments in SummitK12 | 1101 | TCD | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers EB Specialist | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 8 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 8: Provide accelerated instruction (HB4545) for all 4th and 5th grade students who did not achieve Approaching on STAAR | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth on GRA levels, report card grades, campus and district assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education Teachers General Education Teachers Instructional Coaches Dyslexia Interventionist | Nov | Feb | June |
| ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |

| Strategy 9 Details | Foi | Formative Reviews | |
|--|-----|-------------------|------|
| Strategy 9: Provide an early intervention support for foundational reading skills in K-2nd grade. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth on GRA levels, report card grades, campus and district assessments | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K-2nd grade Teachers | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue/ | ue | | |

Performance Objective 2: Provide Staff Development on High Impact Instructional Strategies including Student Engagement, Questioning, Verbal Discourse, Critical Thinking, Sheltered Instruction Practices and Balanced Literacy

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: GRA

Depths of Knowledge Road Maps & Planning Readers Workshop Writers Workshop Small Group Training Word Study and Phonics Reading Academy

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews | | ews |
|--|-------------------|-----|------|
| rategy 1: Conduct coaching and modeling sessions for PreK-5 ELAR teachers new to their specific grade level & new to their content areas | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher mastery of instructional content and implementation of campus and district expectations. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever | | | |
| 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| | | | |

| Strategy 2 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|---|-----|-------------------|------|
| Strategy 2: Conduct staff development on high-impact instructional strategies including sheltered instruction every 9 weeks. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher mastery of instructional content and implementation of campus and district expectations. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| EB Specialist | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Funding Sources: Sheltered Instructions Resources as needed - 263 Title III, LEP - \$2,160 | | | |
| Funding Sources. Sheftered histractions resources as needed - 203 Title III, LET - \$2,100 | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
| Strategy 3: Offer best practices for reading instruction utilizing a model classroom setup to maximize instruction, movement, learning, and | | Formative | |
| safety. | • | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher mastery of instructional design and implementation of campus and district expectations. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| District Coaches | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| | | | |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever | | | |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |

| Strategy 4 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|--|-----------|-------------------|------|
| Strategy 4: Provide training on Balanced Literacy Workshop | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher mastery of instructional content and implementation of campus and district expectations, including reading, writing, and word study best practices. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | Fo | rmative Rev | iews |
| Strategy 5: Utilize Reading Response Journals during whole group and small group instruction and independent work time (work stations and | | | |
| independent work time) Structural Structural Description is demonstrated in reading representation in demonstrated in reading representation. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student mastery of instructional strategies and implementation is demonstrated in reading response journals. | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 6 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 6: Complete the Science of Reading Academies hosted by Region IV (New staff) | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher mastery of instructional content and implementation of Science of Reading Academies to meet state expectations. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K - 3rd grade Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Administrators | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | • | | |

Performance Objective 1: Provide instructional support for 3rd Grade students in Math Category 3 -Geometry and Measurement

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR 2022

MAP Scores

Curriculum Checkpoints Graded Assignments

| Strategy 1 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|---|-----------|-------------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Conduct differentiated STAAR math tutorial sessions for students performing at Approaching, Meets, & Mastery Levels | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meets and Mastery Levels to increase by 10% | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce all math skills including geometry and measurement | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meets and Mastery Levels to increase by 10% | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|---|------|-------------------|--------|
| Strategy 3: Conduct small group math interventions for students scoring below 70% on graded assignments and district assessments in the | | Formative | |
| skills of geometry and measurement | Nov | Nov Feb . | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on 9 week report cards and district assessments | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| - Targeteu Support Strategy - Additional Targeteu Support Strategy | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
| Strategy 4: Utilize Deep Practice to model problem solving skills and reinforce math concepts | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students demonstrate an understanding of deconstructing problems and applying it to word problems | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 5: Implement Math Workshop, Fact Fluency & Number Talks | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on 9 week report cards and district assessments | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Facilitators | 1101 | 100 | 0 4110 |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | 1 |

| Strategy 6 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|---|-----------|-------------------|--------|
| Strategy 6: Conduct small group math interventions for At Risk students scoring below 70% on graded assignments and district assessments | Formative | | |
| in the skills of geometry and measurement | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on 9 week report cards and district assessments | 1101 | 100 | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Tutor | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| Strategy 7 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 7: Conduct and monitor small group math interventions for SPED students scoring below 70% on graded assignments and district | | Formative | |
| assessments in the skills of geometry and measurement | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine week report card grades and major assessments. | 1101 | 100 | - June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| SPED Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| | | | |

| Strategy 8 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|---|-----------|-------------------|------|
| Strategy 8: Conduct and monitor small group math interventions for EB students scoring below 70% on graded assignments and district | Formative | | |
| assessments in the skills of geometry and measurement Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine week report card grades and major assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Teachers EB Specialist | Nov | Feb | June |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| Strategy 9 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 9: Conduct and monitor small group math interventions for African American students scoring below 70% on graded assignments | Formative | | |
| and district assessments in the skills of geometry and measurement Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine week report card grades and major assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy 10 Details | Foi | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 10: Provide campus-wide intervention/enrichment tutorials 7:35 - 8:05 a.m. daily to reinforce math skills. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine weeks report cards and major assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Teachers | Nov | Feb | June |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Performance Objective 2: Provide instructional support for 4th Grade Students in Math Category - Computation and Algebraic Reasoning

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR 2022

MAP Scores

Curriculum Checkpoints Graded Assignments

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews | | ews |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Strategy 1: Conduct differentiated STAAR math tutorial sessions for students performing at Approaching, Meets, & Mastery Levels | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meets and Mastery Level to increase by 10% | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| | | | |
| 0 | _ | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce all math skills including computation and algebraic reasoning | For | mative Revi Formative | ews |
| | For Nov | | ews June |
| Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce all math skills including computation and algebraic reasoning | | Formative | |
| Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce all math skills including computation and algebraic reasoning Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Meets and Masters Level on STAAR by 10% | | Formative | |
| Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce all math skills including computation and algebraic reasoning Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Meets and Masters Level on STAAR by 10% Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | Formative | |
| Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce all math skills including computation and algebraic reasoning Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Meets and Masters Level on STAAR by 10% Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches Teachers | | Formative | |
| Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce all math skills including computation and algebraic reasoning Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Meets and Masters Level on STAAR by 10% Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches Teachers TEA Priorities: | | Formative | |
| Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce all math skills including computation and algebraic reasoning Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Meets and Masters Level on STAAR by 10% Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches Teachers | | Formative | |
| Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce all math skills including computation and algebraic reasoning Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Meets and Masters Level on STAAR by 10% Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: | | Formative | |
| Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce all math skills including computation and algebraic reasoning Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Meets and Masters Level on STAAR by 10% Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | | Formative | |

| Strategy 3 Details | For | rmative Revi | ews |
|---|-----|--------------|------|
| Strategy 3: Conduct small group math interventions for students scoring below 70% on graded assignments and district assessments in the | | Formative | |
| skills of computation and algebraic reasoning Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on 9 week report cards and district assessments | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% of higher on 9 week report cards and district assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | For | rmative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 4: Utilize Deep Practice to model problem solving skills and reinforce math concepts | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students demonstrate an understanding of deconstructing problems and applying it to word problems | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | For | rmative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 5: Implement Math Workshop, Fact Fluency & Number Talks | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on 9 week report cards and district assessments | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Facilitators | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |

| Strategy 6 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|---|-------|-------------|------|
| Strategy 6: Conduct and monitor small group math interventions for At Risk students scoring below 70% on graded assignments and district | | Formative | |
| assessments in the skills of computation and algebraic reasoning | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on 9 week report cards and district assessments | - 101 | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Tutor | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| g | | | |
| Strategy 7 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 7: Conduct and monitor small group math interventions for SPED students scoring below 70% on graded assignments and district | | Formative | |
| assessments in the skills of computation and algebraic reasoning | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine week report card grades and major assessments. | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| SPED Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| 0 11 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | | | |

| Strategy 8 Details | | rmative Revi | iews |
|---|-----|--------------|------|
| Strategy 8: Conduct and monitor small group math interventions for EB students scoring below 70% on graded assignments and district | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine week report card grades and major assessments. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| EB Specialist | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| 8 11 6v | | | |
| Strategy 9 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 9: Provide accelerated instruction (HB4545) for all 4th grade students who did not achieve Approaching on STAAR Math. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meets and Mastery level increase by 10% on the Math STAAR Test in computation and algebraic reasoning | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Special Education Teachers General Education Teachers | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| | | | |
| ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 10 Details | For | rmative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 10: Provide Campus-wide intervention/enrichment 7:35 - 8:05 a.m. daily to reinforce math skills. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine weeks report cards and major assessments. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Teachers | | | |
| reactiers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Et. C. Ellech. C Moduction | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue | | | |

Performance Objective 3: Provide instructional support for 5th Grade Students in Math Category 4 - Data Analysis and Personal Financial Literacy

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR 2022

MAP Scores

Curriculum Checkpoints Graded Assignments

| Strategy 1 Details | For | Formative Reviews | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|------|--|
| Strategy 1: Conduct differentiated STAAR math tutorials for students performing at Approaching, Meets, & Mastery Levels | | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meets and Mastery Level to increase by 10% | Nov | Feb | June | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | | |
| Teachers | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | | |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Formative Reviews | | ews | |
| Strategy 2: Implement Dreambox to reinforce math skills including data and personal financial literacy. | | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Meets and Masters Level on STAAR by 10%. | Nov | Feb | June | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | | |
| Teachers | | | | |
| | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | | |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
|---|------|-----------------|------|
| Strategy 3: Conduct small group math interventions for students scoring below 70% on graded assignments and district assessments in the | | Formative | |
| skills of data analysis and personal financial literacy. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine week report cards and district assessments. | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 4: Implement Math Workshop, Fact Fluency and Number Talks | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine week report cards and district assessments | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | 1107 | reb | June |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 5: Provide Campus-wide intervention/enrichment 7:35 - 8:05 a.m. daily to reinforce math skills. | 1 | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine weeks report cards and major assessments. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches | 1107 | reb | June |
| Teachers | | | |
| | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: | | | |
| - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Level 5. Effective instruction | | | |
| | | | L |

| Strategy 6 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
|--|-----|--------------|------|
| Strategy 6: Conduct small group math interventions for Asian students scoring below 70% on graded assessments and district assessments in | | Formative | _ |
| the area of data analysis and personal financial literacy. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine week report cards and district assessments. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| Strategy 7 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 7: Conduct small group math interventions for SPED students scoring below 70% on graded assessments and district assessments in the area of data analysis and personal financial literacy. | | Formative | 1 - |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine week report card grades and major assessments. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Teachers SPED TEachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| Strategy 8 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 8: Conduct small group math interventions for EB students scoring below 70% on graded assessments and district assessments in the | | Formative | |
| area of data analysis and personal financial literacy. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 70% or higher on nine week report card grades and major assessments | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Teacher EB Specialist | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |

| Strategy 9 Details | For | rmative Revi | ews |
|---|-----|--------------|------|
| Strategy 9: Provide accelerated instruction (HB4545) for all 5th grade students who did not achieve Approaching on STAAR Math. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meets and Mastery level increase by 10% on the Math STAAR Test in Data Analysis and Personal Financial Literacy | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Special Education Teachers General Education Teachers Instructional Coaches | | | |
| ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | ÷ | | |

Performance Objective 4: Provide Staff Development for Teachers on High Impact Instructional Strategies including Student Engagement, Questioning, Verbal Discourse, Instructional Format, Critical Thinking and Best Practices for teaching Math Concepts/Skills.

Evaluation Data Sources: Strive Portfolio Certificates

Professional Development Surveys

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews | | ews |
|--|-------------------|-----------|------|
| Strategy 1: Offer staff development on high impact instructional strategies at staff meetings | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: TTESS Walkthroughs Student Data Increases | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Instructional Coaches, District Coaches & Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Formative Reviews | | ews |
| Strategy 2: Provide Instructional Coaching for K-5 Math teachers new to the campus & new to content areas | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: TTESS Scores Increase in Student Data | Nov | Feb | June |
| | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches Teachers | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | Fo | Formative Reviews | |
|--|-----|-------------------|------|
| Strategy 3: Provide staff development on Math Workshop, Fact Fluency, Number Talks with Purposeful Recording, SAR & Problem Solving | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased number sense STAAR score increases | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Instructional Coaches, District Coaches | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | Fo | rmative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 4: Item analysis will be completed after district assessments and campus major assessments using data from Eduphoria/Aware to determine areas requiring instructional shifts and students who will require additional support. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Instructional Shifts in Classroom Differentiation to Instruction (Small groups) | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | For | rmative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 5: Implement vertical team meetings on content specific instructional strategies for consistent use across grade levels | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Use of consistent strategies | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coaches Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |

| Strategy 6 Details | | Formative Reviews | |
|--|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Strategy 6: Provide an early intervention for math concepts in PK-2nd grade. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: CLI Scores | Nov | Nov Feb | |
| TX-KEA Scores | | | |
| MAP Scores | | | |
| Increase in Student Data | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PK- 2nd Teachers | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | Discontinue | | |

Performance Objective 1: Provide Interventions for Special Education and Emergent Bilingual Students in Science

Evaluation Data Sources: Summative and Formative Assessments

Report Card Grades

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews Formative | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----|------|
| Strategy 1: Conduct science after school tutorial sessions in the second semester | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student demonstates mastery of science objectives on formative and summative assessments. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Level 2. Effective, well-supported Teachers, Level 4. High-Quanty Curriculum, Level 3. Effective histraction | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Formative Reviews | | |
| Strategy 2: Provide differentated small group instruction with a focus on academic vocabulary in the classroom | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student demonstates mastery of science objectives on formative and summative assessments. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | Formative Reviews | | ews |
| Strategy 3: Conduct hands-on science investigations with students analyzing and documenting the scientific process in interactive notebooks | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student demonstates mastery of science objectives on formative and summative assessments. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | 1 | 1 | |

| Strategy 4 Details | Formative Reviews | | ews |
|--|-------------------|-----|------|
| y 4: Conduct Lunch Bunch sessions in the second semester of the school year to reinforce science concepts and skills through the | Formative | | |
| utilization of hands-on investigations | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student demonstates mastery of science objectives on formative and summative assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Consultant | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue | <u> </u> | | |

Goal 3: By June 2023, the percent of 5th grade students that score Meets Grade Level on the STAAR Science Test will increase from 32% to 65% and Masters from 25% to 45%.

Performance Objective 2: Provide instructional support for Students in Science Category 3 - Earth and Space

Evaluation Data Sources: Formative and Summative Assessments Report Card Grades

Stemscopes

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|--|-----|-------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Conduct Science after school tutorial sessions in the second semester of the school year focusing on low scoring standards and | | Formative | |
| utilizing hands-on science investigations. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student demonstates mastery of science objectives on formative and summative assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Provide differentiated small group instruction with a focus on academic vocabulary, frequent opportunities for hands-on | | Formative | |
| investigations, including student record reflections in interactive notebooks Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student demonstates mastery of science objectives on formative and summative assessments. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
|---|-----|--------------|------|
| Strategy 3: Conduct science year end concept review and a 2 week STAAR review camp during the second semester of the school year | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student demonstates mastery of science objectives on STAAR science assessment Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers | Nov | Feb | June |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 4: Segment instruction to include science lab opportunities to utilize tools and the scientific process while investigating science | | Formative | |
| concepts including student discourse and reflective responses recorded in science interactive notebooks. Kindergarten - 1st grade 80% | Nov | Feb | June |
| 2nd - 3rd grade 60% 4th - 5th grade 50% Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student demonstates mastery of science objectives on formative and summative assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 5: Provide professional development for science teachers to model the scientific process outside of the science lab (student | | Formative | |
| discourse, higher order thinking questions, and hands-on learning). Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student discourse and hands-on investigations Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers Science Elementary Teacher | Nov | Feb | June |
| ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu | e | 1 | |

Goal 4: By June 2023, the percent of all parents responding Strongly Agree and Agree regarding parent involvement opportunities on the K12 Insight Survey will increase from 66% to 90%.

Performance Objective 1: Implement community involvement activities and strategies throughout the year.

Evaluation Data Sources: K12 Climate Survey (Parents)

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|--|-----|-------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Implement monthly Smores email to all parents | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent/student involvement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Front office Staff | Nov | Feb | June |
| Administrators | | | |
| Counselors | | | |
| ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Weekly Facebook posts, and grade level newsletters to communicate upcoming events (Skylerts as needed). | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent/student involvement | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Front office staff | | | |
| Classroom Teachers | | | |
| | | | |
| ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Goal 4: By June 2023, the percent of all parents responding Strongly Agree and Agree regarding parent involvement opportunities on the K12 Insight Survey will increase from 66% to 90%.

Performance Objective 2: Implement and host academic opportunities for parents to participate in their student's learning.

Evaluation Data Sources: K12 Insight Survey (parents)

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
|---|---------|-------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Host academic events such as STEAM Night, Curriculum Night, Science Olympiad, and Science EXPO. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement | Nov | Feb | June |
| Increase in parent involvement | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors | | | |
| Classroom Teacher | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Admin | | | |
| | | | |
| ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Increase reading fluency and comprehension in K-2 using Read to Succeed (push-in support) | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased fluency and comprehension Increased GRA levels | Nov | Feb | June |
| | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors Support Staff | | | |
| Instructional Coaches | | | |
| Parents | | | |
| Paraprofessionals | | | |
| ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | |
| - Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify Disc | ontinue | | |

Goal 4: By June 2023, the percent of all parents responding Strongly Agree and Agree regarding parent involvement opportunities on the K12 Insight Survey will increase from 66% to 90%.

Performance Objective 3: Implement and provide opportunities for parents to support staff and students in a variety of special programs.

Evaluation Data Sources: K12 Insight Survey (parents)

Increased parent involvement

| Strategy 1 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
|--|-----------|--------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Implement Frost Pilots to support students and staff academically and socially. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in Discipline referrals Increase student attendance | Nov | Nov Feb | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Counselors | | | |
| ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 2: Parents will provide support in the library, science lab, book fair, and Fine Arts Gala to empower their student's learning. | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student behavior | Nov | Feb | June |
| Increased student attendance Enhance the school culture and climate | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, instructional coaches, Specials teachers, and classroom teachers | | | |
| ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 3: Attend House Mania at Ron Clark Academy to learn how to implement the house system for the 2023-2024 school year. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Enhance the school culture and climate | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, classroom teachers | | | |
| ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify Discontinue/Modify | inue | 1 | I |

Performance Objective 1: Promote and communicate opportunities for parent engagement to ensure the reading academic achievement of students.

Evaluation Data Sources: GRA

Grade Level Newsletters

MAP Data

Reading Response / Questioning Menus

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Utilize daily take home readers to support independent reading | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: GRA growth TX-KEA growth | Nov | Feb | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | OWE |
| 64 | 101 | manve Kevi | CWS |
| Strategy 2: Literacy Night and Book Fair | 101 | Formative | CWS |
| | Nov | | June |
| Strategy 2: Literacy Night and Book Fair | | Formative | |

| Strategy 3 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|---|-----|-------------|------|
| Strategy 3: Reading celebrations: Sight Word Picnic (Kindergarten), Reader's Restaurant (1st grade), Publisher's Picnic (2nd grade) | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: GRA growth MAP 1st-2nd grade growth TX-KEA growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy 4 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 4: Reading Incentive Program: Bean Stack Challenge, Book Character Parade | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: GRA growth MAP 1st-2nd grade growth TX-KEA growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Librarian Teachers | Nov | Feb | June |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Disconti | nue | | |

Performance Objective 2: Teachers will strengthen Tier 1 reading instruction.

Evaluation Data Sources: Guided Reading Assessment

MAP Data TKEA Running Records Graded Assignments Kindergarten Checklist

| Strategy 1 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|--|------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Strategy 1: Provide differentiated small group reading instruction to all during the literacy block | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on GRA levels, report card grades, and district assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Instructional Coach TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy | Nov | Feb | June |
| | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Provide differentiated word study interventions using the Units of Study Phonics program and Heggerty | For | mative Revi Formative | ews |
| | For Nov | | ews June |

| Strategy 3 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
|--|-----|------------------|------|
| Strategy 3: Implement Heggerty Phonemic Awareness Early Pre-Kindergarten Lessons | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on GRA levels, report card grades, and district assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy 4 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 4: Create and implement rigorous and aligned work stations for students during independent work time | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in GRA scores, fluency and comprehension Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Instructional Coach Teachers | Nov | Feb | June |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | ie | | |

Performance Objective 3: Professional development opportunities on questioning and higher order thinking strategies will be provided to increase reading fluency and comprehension.

Evaluation Data Sources: Walk-through data

Formal teacher observations

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|---|-----|-------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Beginning of the Year Campus Staff Development: Model Classrooms, Reading/Writing/Math Workshops, GRA Training, | | Formative | |
| Sheltered Instruction Strategies, Data Analysis, Lesson Planning Cycle, Small Groups | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher mastery of instructional expectations and strategies with the ability to implement with fidelity. | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Instructional Coach | | | |
| Teachers | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Performance Objective 4: Rigorous Tier 2 and 3 instruction will be implemented by instructional Coaches, EB specialists, tutors, and dyslexia interventionists.

Evaluation Data Sources: Guided Reading Assessment TX-KEA Running Records Graded Assignments

Kindergarten Checklist

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|---|-----|-------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Instructional Coaches will implement Countdown to Blast, Jan Richardson, and Jennifer Serravallo strategies during targeted | | Formative | |
| instruction. | Nov | Feb | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demonstrated growth on GRA levels, report card grades, and district assessments. | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals | | | |
| Instructional Coach | | | ĺ |
| Reading Interventionist | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | |
| Build a foundation of reading and math | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | |
| Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction | | | ĺ |
| - Additional Targeted Support Strategy | | | |
| | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu | ie | 1 | |

State Compensatory

Budget for Frost Elementary

Total SCE Funds: \$8,745.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 2

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Funds will be utilized for before/afterschool tutorials and tutors.

Personnel for Frost Elementary

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Position</u> | <u>FTE</u> |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Foster, Kathryn | Pre K Aide | 1 |
| Melissa Graner | PreK Aide | 1 |

Site-Based Decision Making Committee

| Committee Role | Name | Position | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Administrator | Shannon Hood | Principal | |
| Administrator | Verna Berry | Assistant Principal | |
| Classroom Teacher | Fiona Inman | Pre-K Teacher | |
| Classroom Teacher | Natalie Stark | Kindergarten Teacher | |
| Classroom Teacher | Kierra Rocio | 2nd Grade Teacher | |
| Classroom Teacher | Emily Cunningham | 3rd Grade Teacher | |
| Classroom Teacher | Brittany Schamerhorn | 4th Grade Teacher | |
| Classroom Teacher | Erika De La Ossa | 5th Grade Teacher | |
| Parent | Jamison Edmondson | Parent Representative | |
| Parent | Edgar Hernandez | Parent Representative | |
| Parent | Kristian Parker | Parent Representative | |
| Parent | Jacob Pittman | Parent Representative | |
| Classroom Teacher | Morgan Smith | PPCD / Pegasus Teacher | |
| Community Representative | Michael Moore | Community Representative | |
| Classroom Teacher | Cherry Hoagland | 1st Grade Teacher | |
| Classroom Teacher | Vanessa Flores | Math Facilitator | |
| Classroom Teacher | Tonia Nelson | Reading Facilitator | |

Campus Funding Summary

| 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|--|--------------|------------|--|
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount | |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | Tutor and tutoring supplies | | \$8,745.00 | |
| Sub-Total | | | | \$8,745.00 | | |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | \$8,745.00 | | | |
| +/- Difference | | \$0.00 | | | | |
| 263 Title III, LEP | | | | | | |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount | |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | Sheltered Instructions Resources as needed | | \$2,160.00 | |
| | | | | Sub-Total | \$2,160.00 | |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | \$2,160.00 | | | |
| +/- Difference | | | \$0.00 | | | |
| Grand Total Budgeted | | \$10,905.00 | | | | |
| Grand Total Spent | | \$10,905.00 | | | | |
| +/- Difference | | | \$0.00 | | | |