Lamar Consolidated Independent School District Morgan Elementary

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

"Panthers Always Pursue Excellence"

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	10
Priority Problem Statements	12
Goals	13
Goal 1: Per HB 3, by June 2023, 70% of students will score Meets Grade Level or above on the third grade Math STAAR and 75% on the third grade Reading STAAR with goal of 90% in Math and 85% in Reading by 2024.	1 the 14
Goal 2: By June 2023, special education student failures will have been reduced by at least 50% compared to the 2021-2022 percentages.	19
Goal 3: By June 2023, at least 48 % of special education students will score Meets Grade Level on the Reading, and 31% will score Meets Grade Level on the Mathematics STAAR test to increase preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.	s 22
Goal 4: By June 2023, 45% of at-risk and economically disadvantaged students will score Meets Grade Level or above on the Reading and Mathematics STAAR test to clothe achievement gap at Morgan.	se 24
Goal 5: By June 2023, 90% of First and Second Grade students will be reading at or above grade level as measured by end of year GRA assessments to ensure preparedness the next grade level and beyond.	s for 26
Goal 6: By May 2023, fifth grade students will score 90% approaches, 60% meets, and 30% masters as measured by the 2023 Science STAAR assessment.	29
State Compensatory	31
Budget for Morgan Elementary	32
Personnel for Morgan Elementary	32
Campus Funding Summary	32

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Fletcher Morgan Jr. Elementary opened in the Fall of 2021 as the 29th elementary school in Lamar Consolidated ISD. The need for Morgan Elementary is a direct result of the fast growth in Fulshear, and the northwest section of the school district. We serve students who live in 12 communities located within our attendance zone. Morgan Elementary serves students in Pre-Kindergarten through 5th grade. The student demographics are as follows:

Morgan Elem Demographics

Total Students 705
Hispanic 185 26.28%
American Indian/Alaskian Native 2 0.28%
Asian 17 2.41%
African American 82 11.65%
Pacific -Is 1 0.14%
White 379 53.84%
Two Or More 38 5.40%
Eco Dis 215 30.54%
EB 46 6.53%
SPED 74 10.51%
GT 78 11.08%
At Risk 82 11.65%

Demographics Strengths

The rich cultural diversity of our school is a direct reflection of the cultural diversity found in Fort Bend County as well as in Fulshear. The teachers and staff of Morgan Elementary are trained in supporting students who receive English Language support services with sheltered instructional strategies. Our special education teachers are current on the instructional strategies needed to promote growth of students who receive special education services. All teachers have training in supporting the varying needs of all students through solid Tier I instructional best practices.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

oblem Statement 1: The greater Fulshear area is growing rapidly. Growth and student enrollment is expected to double in the next five yrapidly developing with the availability of land and master planned communities moving into the area.	years. Root Cause: Greater Fulshear area
rgan Elementary	Campus #146

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Morgan STAAR Overview 2021 - 2022

All Grade Levels

Reading/ELA **Mathematics** Current Campus Total Students Approaches Meets Masters Total Students Approaches Meets Masters Total Stu Morgan Elementary Morgan Elementary Economic Disadvantage Morgan Elementary Asian Morgan Elementary Black/African American Morgan Elementary Hispanic Morgan Elementary Morgan Elementary Two or More Races White Morgan Elementary First Year of Monitoring Morgan Elementary Morgan Elementary LEP Special Ed Indicator Morgan Elementary

3rd Grade

		Mathematics				Reading		
	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters
Morgan Elementary	120	92%	64%	32%	120	90%	68%	40%
Economic Disadvantage	27	74%	41%	15%	27	74%	59%	30%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	100%	100%	0%	1	100%	100%	100%
Asian	2	100%	100%	50%	2	100%	100%	50%
Black/African American	8	38%	13%	13%	8	50%	25%	25%
Hispanic	23	91%	57%	22%	23	87%	52%	22%
Two or More Races	8	100%	100%	38%	8	100%	88%	63%
White	78	96%	67%	36%	78	94%	73%	44%
Currently Emergent Bilingual	4	100%	75%	0%	4	75%	25%	0%
Special Ed Indicator	17	59%	29%	18%	17	65%	47%	12%

		Mathemati	ics			Reading	,	
	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Total Students	Approache	es Meets]	Masters
Morgan Elementary	99	78%	54%	36%	99	83%	65%	37%
Economic Disadvantage	23	43%	13%	0%	23	61%	30%	4%
Asian	1	100%	100%	100%	1	100%	0%	0%
Black/African American	12	50%	8%	8%	12	67%	42%	25%
Hispanic	21	52%	29%	14%	21	71%	57%	24%
Two or More Races	5	80%	60%	60%	5	100%	100%	60%
White	60	92%	70%	47%	60	88%	70%	43%
Currently Emergent Bilingual	6	50%	33%	33%	6	67%	33%	17%
Second Year of Monitoring	2	100%	100%	0%	2	100%	100%	0%
Special Ed Indicator	12	50%	8%	8%	12	50%	17%	0%

5th Grade

		Mathematic	:S			Reading				Science
	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Total Students	Approaches
Morgan Elementary	94	93%	61%	34%	94	96%	79%	54%	94	86%
Economic Disadvantage	22	77%	32%	9%	22	86%	59%	45%	22	77%
Asian	4	100%	75%	0%	4	100%	100%	50%	4	75%
Black/African American	11	82%	55%	9%	11	82%	64%	18%	11	82%
Hispanic	19	84%	32%	16%	19	95%	68%	58%	19	68%
Two or More Races	1	100%	100%	100%	1	100%	100%	0%	1	100%
White	59	97%	69%	46%	59	98%	83%	61%	59	93%
Currently Emergent Bilingual	6	67%	33%	17%	6	100%	67%	67%	6	50%
Special Ed Indicator	15	73%	27%	0%	15	80%	40%	20%	15	73%

GRA Levels 4th Nine Weeks

Grade Level	Below	On Grade Level	Above Grade Level
Kinder	25%	45%	30%
First	22%	26%	52%
Second	18%	21%	61%

Student Learning Strengths

2021 - 2022

Guided Reading Assessment (GRA)

• 83% of 2nd grade students are reading on or above grade level.

MAP

- 2nd grade met expected growth in Reading and Math.
- 2nd 5th grade exceeded the expected growth in Science.
- 3rd grade exceeded the expected growth in Math.
- 5th grade exceeded the expected growth in Reading.

STAAR

- 5th grade students
 - Reading overall scored 55% in the masters category.
- 4th grade students
 - Math overall scored 36% in the masters category.
 - Reading overall scored 37% in the masters category.
- 3rd grade students
 - Math overall scored 32% in the masters category.
 - Reading overall scored 40% in the masters category.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1: Student growth continues to be a challenge. **Root Cause:** The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic continued to impact student learning with challenges such as students being homeschooled in previous years, remote conferencing, and virtual learning contributing to inconsistencies.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

As we are building Morgan Elementary we will be using the following processes and programs:

- CHAMPs
- PBIS
- Solution Focused Model
- MTSS Model
- PLC
- Character Counts
- Quality Tier I Instruction
- New Teacher Mentorship (campus/district level)
- Professional Development
- Instructional Modeling
- Panther Time
- Panther Watch
- No Place for Hate

School Processes & Programs Strengths

As we continue to grow at Morgan Elementary a benefit is that the student population is small and we will be able to consistently use the following processes and programs:

- CHAMPs campus wide implementation of student behavior management system will consistently support students at the Tier I level.
- PBIS will serve to promote positive reinforcement of student behavior.
- Solution Focused Model common framework to approach and resolve challenges.
- MTSS Model staff will ensure that all students' needs are met.
- PLC school wide collaborative process for grade levels to share instruction practices, analyze student performance, and generate and learn strategies for instruction.
- Character Counts district wide education to build students with strong character.
- Quality Tier I instruction decreases the number who need Tiered intervention support.
- New Teacher Mentorship (campus/district level) help support and retain new teachers for following years.
- Professional Development continous learning to support high standard instruction for student achievement.
- Instructional Modeling effective implementation of the instructional coaching cycle.
- Panther Time campus wide invention and enrichment
- Panther Watch 6 week progress monitoring cycle
- No Place for Hate- anti-bullying program

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: It will be a challenge to implement all processes and programs with Morgan Elementary faculty and staff as the campus continues to grow and new staff members are added. **Root Cause:** The natural effects of change in staff and continuing to refine training on programs and processes with existing staff at Morgan Elementary.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

The team reviewed the Campus Climate Survey which is based on the 2021-2022 school year.

As we develop Morgan Elementary, we will focus on:

- building and maintaining positive relationships with all stakeholders
- create a positive atmosphere that celebrates success with a deep focus on teaching and learning
- providing clear and consistent communication
- set high expectations and demonstrate for all how to meet or exceed those expectations
- high academic performance

Perceptions Strengths

After reviewing the Morgan Elementary 2021-2022 parent campus climate survey results the following strengths were observed:

- 90% of parents felt that their student's teachers were available to discuss their student's learning needs.
- 88% of parents felt that teachers set high learning standards for their student.
- 88% of parents felt their student is safe at school.
- 88% of parents felt they were kept informed of their student's grades.

After reviewing the Morgan Elementary 2021-2022 staff campus climate survey results the following strengths were observed:

- 96% of the staff felt that they give timely and helpful feedback on student work.
- 96% of the staff felt that students had a teacher, counselor, or staff member to whom a student could go for help with school problems.
- 96% of staff feel safe at school.

After reviewing the Morgan Elementary 2021-2022 student campus climate survey results the following strengths were observed:

- 88% of students rated the quality of their school above average (A or B rating).
- 95% of students feel that teachers tell them what they need to know to do well and they get extra help when needed.
- 99% of students are aware of school safety procedures (evacuate, hold drill, shelter in place, lock out/down).

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Not enough stakeholders are taking the survey despite providing opportunities for them to take them to provide their feedback. Which would allow the campus to have a more representative picture of how culture, climate and values are perceived by all stakeholders. **Root Cause:** A low number of parent and staff stakeholders are

taking the survey.

Priority Problem Statements

Goals

Goal 1: Per HB 3, by June 2023, 70% of students will score Meets Grade Level or above on the third grade Math STAAR and 75% on the third grade Reading STAAR with the goal of 90% in Math and 85% in Reading by 2024.

Performance Objective 1: Ensure high-quality TIER I Instruction in Math by implementing Math Workshop and Guided Math in Kindergarten through Fifth Grade.

Strategy 1 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Professional Development for staff Digging Deep into Instructional Components and Resources:		Formative	
- Effective and explicit small group instruction - Effective use of math manipulatives	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strengthen guided math workshop implementation and increase student growth based on needs.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Utilize Stemscopes Math as an instructional resource.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student math growth.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coach			
Administrators			
Strategy 3 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Resource Library will be utilized to provide instructional materials and professional resources for differentiated instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student's individual needs are met while experiencing growth.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coach			
EB Coach			
Administrators			
Funding Sources: Supplemental Resources for EL students - 199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL - \$920			

Strategy 4 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 4: Implement sacred planning days to promote professional learning communities		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strengthen math workshop to meet students' needs.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
EB Coach				
Instructional Coach				
Administrators				
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 5: Students who did not score Meets grade level or above on the Math STAAR will be placed in small group for intervention.	Formative			
(Target group: Economically Disadvantage, Hispanic, Non-Continuously Enrolled)		Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student's individual needs are met while experiencing growth.	Nov	100	- June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach				
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 6: Submit lesson plans and assessments for review.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement of instructional practices in PK-5th grade.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Instructional Coach				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ue			

Goal 1: Per HB 3, by June 2023, 70% of students will score Meets Grade Level or above on the third grade Math STAAR and 75% on the third grade Reading STAAR with the goal of 90% in Math and 85% in Reading by 2024.

Performance Objective 2: Ensure high-quality TIER I Instruction in Reading by implementing Reading Workshop in Kindergarten through Fifth Grade.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Utilize Extended Learning Time for students to receive TIER II intervention and TIER III remediation. Students that are TIER I will be provided enrichment opportunities by classroom teachers			
will be provided enrichment opportunities by classroom teachers.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fill in instruction gaps and meet the needs of all students.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coach			
Reading Interventionist			
EB Coach			
Administrators			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Track student progress in intervention using Progress Measuring Assessments at the 3rd and 6th week of intervention and Panther		Formative	
Watch progress monitoring meetings	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensuring all student needs are met.	1107	TCD	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coach			
Reading Interventionist			
EB Coach			
Administrators			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Utilize team planning to design individualized targeted small group instruction for classroom and extended learning time.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student growth in reading.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coach			
Reading Interventionist			
EB Coach			
Administrators			

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews		
Strategy 4: Professional Development for staff Digging Deep into Instructional Components and Resources:		Formative			
- Effective and explicit small group instruction- guided reading, strategy groups, and book clubs.- Effective implementation of literacy instructional strategies.	Nov	Feb	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strengthen reading workshop implementation and increase student growth based on needs.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach Reading Interventionist EB Coach Administrators					
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews		
Strategy 5: Students who did not score Meets grade level or above on the Math STAAR will be placed in small group for intervention.	Formative				
(Target group: Economically Disadvantage, Hispanic, Non-Continuously Enrolled)	Nov	Feb	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student's individual needs are met while experiencing growth.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach					
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ie				

Goal 1: Per HB 3, by June 2023, 70% of students will score Meets Grade Level or above on the third grade Math STAAR and 75% on the third grade Reading STAAR with the goal of 90% in Math and 85% in Reading by 2024.

Performance Objective 3: Facilitate opportunities to remediate, intervene, and enrich learning.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Utilize Extended Learning Time for students to receive TIER II intervention and TIER III remediation. Students that are TIER I	Formative			
will be provided enrichment opportunities by classroom teachers.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fill in instruction gaps and ensure all students are growing academically.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Literacy Coach				
Math Coach				
Reading Interventionist				
EB Coach				
Administrators				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Track student progress in intervention using Progress Measuring Assessments at the 3rd and 6th week of intervention and Panther		Formative		
Watch progress monitoring meetings	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student growth.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Instructional Coach				
Reading Interventionist				
EB Coach				
Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details	Foi	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Utilize team planning to design individualized targeted small group instruction for classroom and extended learning time.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strengthen tier 1 instruction and student growth.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Literacy Coach				
Reading Interventionist				
EB Coach				
Administrators				

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Utilize part time math tutor to provide small group interventions for students in need.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student's individual needs are met while experiencing growth.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach			
Funding Sources: Part Time Math Tutor - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$10,758			
No Progress	ie		

Goal 2: By June 2023, special education student failures will have been reduced by at least 50% compared to the 2021-2022 percentages.

Performance Objective 1: Establish professional learning communities to monitor the progress of each special education student.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Special Education Teachers will participate in grade level student progress review to analyze progress and collaborate on supports		Formative	
for special education students that are struggling.	Nov	Nov Feb	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Utilization of materials/resources embedded in lesson plans and observed in classrooms. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education Teachers General Education Teachers Instructional Coach EB Coach Reading Interventionist Administrators			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Special Education student progress review meetings will be conducted with special education teachers and administrators after	Formative		
progress reports to review failures and consider adjustments to IEPs Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: ARDS scheduled to adjust Individualized Education Plans as needed, student progress from one	Nov	Feb	June
grading period to the next. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education teachers Administrators			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Working with the Special Education Department and Department of Teaching and Learning, revise the Oral Reading and		Formative	
Comprehension Rubrics for students with identified reading disabilities.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students with reading learning disabilities will increase scores on Oral Reading and Comprehension Rubrics. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education teachers General Education Teachers Administrators Special Education Department Teaching and Learning Department			

Strategy 4 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 4: Special Education Teachers will attend grade level planning meetings in one subject in one grade level weekly to collaborate with	Formative		
general ed teachers on supports for special education students that are struggling.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Utilization of materials/resources embedded in lesson plans and observed in classrooms. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education Teachers, General Education Teachers Instructional Coach Administrators			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify Discontinue			

Goal 2: By June 2023, special education student failures will have been reduced by at least 50% compared to the 2021-2022 percentages.

Performance Objective 2: Provide opportunities to remediate and intervene.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Schedule review ARDs to edit Individualized Educational Plans as needed.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Adjustments made to schedule of service times and accommodations.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education Teachers General Education Teachers Administrators				
Diagnostician				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Structure 2. Utilize district resources to remediate or provide intervention		Formative		
Strategy 2: Utilize district resources to remediate or provide intervention.		I of materie		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Use of LLI and Moving with Math observed in classroom walkthroughs.	Nov	Feb	June	
	Nov	1	June	

Goal 3: By June 2023, at least 48 % of special education students will score Meets Grade Level on the Reading, and 31% will score Meets Grade Level on the Mathematics STAAR test to increase preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 1: Ensure high-quality TIER I instruction in the inclusion and resource classroom.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
gy 1: Ensure all teachers that provide support to special education students have appropriate materials/resources to enhance instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Utilization of materials/resources embedded in lesson plans and observed in classrooms.	Nov Feb		June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coach			
EB Coach Reading Interventionist			
Administrators			
Strategy 2 Details	Fo	rmative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Provide differentiated learning opportunities for special education students.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Use data to support gaps are closing for special education students	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coach			
EB Coach			
Reading Interventionist			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: A dedicated Resource Library will be utilized to provide instructional materials and professional resources for differentiated		Formative	
nstruction.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will routinely access the Resource Library for instructional materials that support differentiated instruction.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coach			
Reading Interventionist			
EB Coach			
Administrators			
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinu	<u> </u>	1	

Goal 3: By June 2023, at least 48 % of special education students will score Meets Grade Level on the Reading, and 31% will score Meets Grade Level on the Mathematics STAAR test to increase preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 2: Facilitate opportunities to remediate, intervene, and enrich learning.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
egy 1: Special education teachers will participate in student review to analyze progress and collaborate on supports for special education		Formative		
students that are struggling. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Implementation of Individualized Educational Plans Staff Responsible for Monitoring: General and Special Education Teachers Administrators	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Develop a master schedule that maximizes instructional time and supports the needs of students receiving special education		Formative		
services. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Minimal interruptions/breaks during instructional blocks	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach Reading Interventionist EB Coach Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 3: Special Education student review meetings will be conducted with special education teachers and administrators after progress		Formative		
reports to review failures and consider adjustments to IEPs. Strategy's Expected Possit/(Impacts Effective progress on IEPS)	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective progress on IEPS Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education Teachers Administrators				
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	;	·	•	

Goal 4: By June 2023, 45% of at-risk and economically disadvantaged students will score Meets Grade Level or above on the Reading and Mathematics STAAR test to close the achievement gap at Morgan.

Performance Objective 1: Implement direct instructional strategies to meet the needs of English Language Learners.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		iews
Strategy 1: EB Coach will work with teachers to register for the Supplemental Certification Exam Review and Certification test to achieve	Formative		
100% ESL certification	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Non-certified teachers registered for courses			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: EB Coach			
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 2: Provide a minimum of 2 Coaches Corners throughout the year to teach/reinforce instructional strategies that can be utilized in	Formative		
classroom	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Classroom observations will provide evidence of strategy implementation.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: EB Coach			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	•		

Goal 4: By June 2023, 45% of at-risk and economically disadvantaged students will score Meets Grade Level or above on the Reading and Mathematics STAAR test to close the achievement gap at Morgan.

Performance Objective 2: Provide direct intervention to students that do not perform satisfactorily on the beginning of the year universal screener.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Establish Extended Learning Time and before school tutorials where students will receive TIER II intervention and TIER III		Formative	
remediation.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of students needing TIER II and TIER III intervention, Students receiving TIER I will show academic growth on assessments			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach			
Reading Interventionist			
EB Coach Administrators			
Auministrators			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Track student progress in intervention using Success Ed, progress reports, and Panther Watch Meetings	ent progress in intervention using Success Ed, progress reports, and Panther Watch Meetings	Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of students needing TIER II and TIER III intervention, Students receiving	Nov	Feb	June
TIER I will show academic growth on assessments			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach			
Reading Interventionist			
EB Coach			
Administrators			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Utilize team planning to design individualized targeted small group instruction for classroom and extended learning time.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student progress on learning objectives	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	- 101		
Instructional Coach			
Reading Interventionist			
EB Coach Administrators			
A Minimistrators			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue	<u> </u>		
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue			

Goal 5: By June 2023, 90% of First and Second Grade students will be reading at or above grade level as measured by end of year GRA assessments to ensure preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 1: Ensure high-quality TIER I instruction by implementing reading workshop in every First and Second Grade classroom.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 1: A dedicated Resource Library will be utilized to provide instructional and professional resources to support differentiated		Formative		
instruction.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will routinely access the Resource Library for instructional materials that support				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Literacy Coach				
Reading Interventionist				
EB Coach				
Administrators				
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Faculty meetings for targeted professional development.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will utilize professional development to guide instruction during Reading Workshop, Classroom Observations.	Nov Feb		June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Literacy Coach EB Coach Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Utilize District Oral Reading Rubrics		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student progress will be assessed and learning goals will be developed using the oral reading rubrics	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Literacy Coach				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ie	•		

Goal 5: By June 2023, 90% of First and Second Grade students will be reading at or above grade level as measured by end of year GRA assessments to ensure preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 2: Facilitate opportunities to remediate, intervene, and enrich learning.

Strategy 1 Details	For	ews				
Strategy 1: Establish Extended Learning Time where students will receive TIER II intervention and TIER III remediation. Implement before	Formative			Formative		
school tutorials for intervention. Students that are TIER I will be provided enrichment opportunities by classroom teachers.	Nov Feb		June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of students needing TIER II and TIER III intervention, Students receiving TIER I will show academic growth on assessments						
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers						
Literacy Coach Reading Interventionist						
EB Coach						
Administrators						
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	AW C			
	101		CWS			
Strategy 2: Track student progress in intervention using Progress Measuring Assessments at the 3rd and 6th week of intervention and Panther Watch progress monitoring meetings		Formative				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of students needing TIER II and TIER III intervention, Students receiving	Nov	Feb	June			
TIER I will show academic growth on assessments						
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers						
Literacy Coach						
EB Coach Administrators						
Administrators						
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews			
Strategy 3: Utilize team planning to design individualized targeted small group instruction for classroom and extended learning time.		Formative				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student progress on learning objectives	Nov	Feb	June			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers						
Literacy Coach						
Reading Interventionist						
EB Coach						
Administrators						
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify Discontinue						

Goal 5: By June 2023, 90% of First and Second Grade students will be reading at or above grade level as measured by end of year GRA assessments to ensure preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 3: Early Literacy: To introduce and increase the verbal and cognitive skills of all Pre-K students using a variety of pre-reading and pre-writing activities, materials, and media will be utilized.

Evaluation Data Sources: Report card, Pre-K checklist, CLI Assessment, Lesson Plans, walk-through data, Smart Start Reports, writing conference portfolios and student writing samples.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Implementing and providing appropriate literacy materials and refining balanced literacy expectations.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 90% of Pre-K students will make satisfactory progress in the academic development of ELA	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators EB Coach Teachers			
Funding Sources: Pre-K Aide - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$31,761.12			
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

Goal 6: By May 2023, fifth grade students will score 90% approaches, 60% meets, and 30% masters as measured by the 2023 Science STAAR assessment.

Performance Objective 1: Provide professional development in science to improve Tier 1 instruction.

Evaluation Data Sources: Lesson Plans

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Create a Science vertical team to align instructional strategies across grade levels for highly tested TEKS that will meet once a				
semester	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will score at least 90% approaches, 60% meets, and at least 30% masters as measured by the 2022 STAAR assessment.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coach				
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Grade Level Teams will meet with campus and district coaches to collaborate and plan quality Tier 1 instruction using LCISD		Formative		
best practices for students.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lesson plans will reflect strategies implemented and be reflected in walkthroughs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coach				
Strategy 3 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Once every nine weeks, K-5th grade teachers will participate in extended planning.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Long range planning	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coaches				
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 4: Teachers will observe colleagues and coaches during instruction to increase teacher capacity.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will complete an observation rubric to reflect upon areas of growth for their own instruction.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach Teachers				
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue	e			

Goal 6: By May 2023, fifth grade students will score 90% approaches, 60% meets, and 30% masters as measured by the 2023 Science STAAR assessment.

Performance Objective 2: Establish a shared vision for collaboration, high expectations, and commitment to improve science academic performance.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teachers will design lessons to incorporate daily hands-on experiences for all students. K-1: 80%, 2nd-3rd: 60%, 4th-5th: 50%		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved experiences for students.	Nov	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach				
Teachers				
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Students will conduct investigations in the Science lab on a weekly basis.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved experiences for students.	Nov	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach				
Teachers				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 3: All Science assessments grades 2-5, will have multiple stimuli focusing on students' interpretation of charts, tables, graphs, and		Formative		
diagrams.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will become familiar with multiple stimuli.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	;			

State Compensatory

Budget for Morgan Elementary

Total SCE Funds: \$0.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 1

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Personnel for Morgan Elementary

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Lucie Villagomez	PreK Aide	1

Campus Funding Summary

			199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	4	Part Time Math Tutor		\$10,758.00
5	3	1	Pre-K Aide		\$31,761.12
		•		Sub-Total	\$42,519.12
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				\$42,519.12	
+/- Difference				\$0.00	
			199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL	<u> </u>	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	3	Supplemental Resources for EL students		\$920.00
Sub-Total				\$920.00	
Budgeted Fund Source Amount			\$920.00		
+/- Difference			\$0.00		
Grand Total Budgeted		\$43,439.12			
Grand Total Spent				\$43,439.12	
+/- Difference				\$0.00	